Appendix 1 - Financial Case Benchmarking Analysis

Benchmarking Overview

KPMG reviewed 14 previous Cases for Change across England ranging from cases submitted between 2009 and 2023 to identify estimated savings and respective unitary population sizes.

Unitary	Year of transition	Number of councils	Projected Base Case savings (Business Case) £	Unitary population
Cornwall	2009	7	15,400,000	532,273
Wiltshire	2009	5	18,000,000	470,981
Dorset	2019	5	14,200,000	376,480
BCP Council	2020	3	13,600,000	395,300
Buckinghamshire	2020	4	18,000,000	546,000
Somerset	2022	4	18,500,000	560,000
Hertfordshire South West	2023	5	36,100,000	595,670
Hertfordshire North East	2023	5	34,200,000	588,695
York & North Yorkshire West	2023	4	19,400,000*	363,297
York & North Yorkshire East	2023	4	24,750,000*	465,375
North Northamptonshire	2021	4	6,100,000	343,614
West Northamptonshire	2021	3	6,000,000	401,996
Cumbria East	2023	3	11,200,000*	225,390
Cumbria West	2023	3	14,150,000*	274,622

*Calculated as the average of the Low and High case savings within the Business Case
Source: LGR Saving Calculation - Top Down Saving Calculation - v04 Hampshire Option [x].xlsx, tab '2. Savings Quantum Analysis

To estimate the overall reorganisation savings for Hampshire, an average 25/26 savings per capita based on these cases was calculated and applied to the Hampshire population, with a subsequent 10% discount reflecting diseconomies of scale expected by a four unitary model.

The overall reorganisation savings for Hampshire were apportioned to savings categories using a percentage allocation.

Four previous Cases for Change were reviewed to inform an initial allocation across five savings categories.

These savings categories and allocations were developed and refined further based on local government experience and discussions with S151s.

Benchmarking Savings Category	% Total Savings (Based on Somerset, BCP, Dorset and Buckinghamshire Council Cases)	Hampshire Savings Category	% Total Savings (Hampshire Case)	Hampshire % vs Benchmark %	Explanation
Workforce and service line efficiencies	54%	Optimising Leadership Right Sizing the Organisation Centralising Corporate Services Customer Engagement Consolidating Fleets & Optimising Routes	56%	+2%	Comparable savings
Systems	2%	Improved Digital & IT Systems	7%		Increase to reflect opportunity for savings of c. 50% of existing cost base in Base Case
SLAs/ contracts	28%	Service Contract Consolidation	30%	+2%	Comparable savings
Estates/ facilities	7%	Asset & Property Optimisation	3%		Reduction to reflect more achievable savings of c. 5% of existing cost base in Base Case
Democratic arrangements	9%	Proportionate Democratic Services	4%	-5%	Reduction to reflect more achievable savings of c. 10% of existing cost base in Base Case
Total	100%		100%		

KPMG reviewed the Hampshire and IOW Case (Base Scenario) to the Surrey LGR Case (2unitary and 3unitary scenarios) to compare their estimated annual steady state savings per category and proportion to the combined Districts and County Net Revenue Budgets

Surrey County Case Savings	£m	% Total Net Revenue Expenditure (FY25/26)	Hampshire Case Savings	£m	% Total Net Revenue Expenditure (FY25/26)
Optimising Leadership	2U - £9.3 3U - £3	2U – 0.63% 3U – 0.2%	Optimising Leadership	£4.5	0.1%
Reorganisation benefit	2U - £9.9 3U - £7.3	2U – 0.68% 3U – 0.5%	Right Sizing the Organisation	£32.7	0.9%
			Centralising Corporate Services	8.03	0.0%
			Customer Engagement	£4.9	0.1%
Transformation benefit	2U - £42.2m 3U - £30.5m	2U – 2.88% 3U – 2.1%	Service Contract Consolidation	£24.5	0.7%
			Asset & Property Optimisation	£2.5	0.1%
			Consolidating Fleets & Optimising Routes	£3.7	0.1%
			Improved Digital & IT Systems	£2.9	0.1%
Total	2U – £61.3m 3U – £40.8m	2U – 4.2% 3U – 2.8% of £1,465.6 Net Revenue Budget		£81.8m	2.2 % of £3,752m Net Revenue Budget

Values calculated as a mid-point of Base and Stretch case Values represent annual reorganisation benefits.

Figures subject to final review.

Source KPMG Report, Hampshire and the Solent LGR Benchmarking 9th September 2025.